

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/597,784	Applicant(s) CRAWFORD, JAMES	
	Examiner Kristie D. Shingles	Art Unit 2141	

All Participants:

(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner.

(2) Rob DeVoto, Atty.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

31, 35, 36, 40, 45

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:00am

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon Primary approval, Examiner contacted Atty in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Examiner proposed corrective claim language to overcome 101 rejection of claims 31, 35, 36, 40 and 45. Atty authorized Examiner's Amendment and the claims were amended accordingly.